

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,973	10/14/2003	Sheldon B. Moberg	PF00391 CON	9958
	7590 04/18/2006	2	EXAMINER	
MiniMed inc. 18000 Devonshire Street			HUH, BENJAMIN	
Northridge, CA 91325-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2,			3767	
			DATE MAII ED: 04/19/2004	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/684,973	MOBERG ET AL.				
Omeo Action Summary	Examiner  Regionin Hub	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communic	Benjamin Huh eation appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set o	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a redication.  In the properties of the prope	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·— ·	)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/6/06</u> .					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
• — • • • — • •	6) Claim(s) 34-49 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
O/C Cidini(a) are dubject to rection analysis election engineering						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action	i ioi a iist oi tile celtilled copies no	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/6/06.	10-0-0/	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

The previous requirement for restriction is hereby withdrawn due to the fact that all applicable claims have been cancelled. The amendment filed on 4/6/2006 canceling all claims 1-33 and adding new claims 34-49 has been received. Therefore, there is no restriction at this time.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6659980B2.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim does not recite that the electronics system obtains a measurement from the sensor, calculates the slope, compares the slope, increments the slope to determine if there is an occlusion, but it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to program the processor of the electronics system to perform these tasks and it would be fully capable of obtaining a measurement from the sensor, calculating the slope, comparing the slope, incrementing the slope to help determine if there is an occlusion due to the fact that the process would be fully capable of performing those functions due to it's ability to work in the environment in order to determine when there is an occlusion.

Claims 34-49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,7, 18, 21-27, & 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6485465B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both recite a housing, a motor, a drive train, more specifically including a bellows or cap, a sensor, more specifically a strain gauge, and an electronics system. Even though the application does not explicitly recite the one or more components including the sensor are stacked between the motor and the housing component and wherein the housing component is positioned to remove space between the one or more components before the housing component is attached to the housing it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to do so in order to have the sensor closer to the components in which it is

measuring for it to have a more direct contact with the parameter to be measured for a reliable and precise reading.

## Specification

The examiner suggests a change in the title of the invention, due to the canceling and adding of claims the claims are not only directed to an apparatus.

The following title is suggested: Apparatuses for infusion pump fluid pressure and force detection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 34, 41-42, & 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Das et al (US Patent No. 6423035B1). The Das et al reference discloses an occlusion detection system for detecting an occlusion in a fluid path of an infusion pump with a reservoir containing fluid for delivering fluid to a user in figures 1-9, the occlusion detection system comprising a housing 7, a motor 10 contained within the housing 7, a drive train (seen as elements 20, 21, 18, 28) having a front end and a rear

environment since it has a processor.

the drive train including at least one drive train component including elements 20 a plunger, 21 a plunger stem, 18 a lead screw, & 28 a gear train, that reacts to a stimulus from the motor to force the fluid from the reservoir into the user, a sensor 16, a force sensor, seen to be positioned on the drive train near the front end of the drive train to measure tension or compression proportional to a pressure applied to the at least one drive train component, and wherein the sensor 16 is fully capable of producing three or more output levels across a range of measurements due to it's ability to work in the environment; and an electronics system 200 that is fully capable of processing the three or more output levels to declare when an occlusion exists due to it's ability to work in the

With regards to claims 41-42, wherein the at least one drive train component is a cap seen to be element 20 the plunger, which is a cap of the plunger stem 21, wherein the plunger is constructed of a resilient material.

With regards to claim 44 as applied to claim 34, now looking at the element in a different light further including a stopper seen as the element 20 the plunger, slidably positioned in the reservoir to push the fluid out of the reservoir.

With regards to claim 45, wherein the at least one drive train component 21 the plunger stem, presses directly against the stopper 20 in response to a stimulus from the motor.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,973

Art Unit: 3767

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Das et al (US Patent No. 6423035B1) in view of Dixon et al (US Patent No. 3677218). Even though Das et al does not explicitly disclose the use of a bellows with a sensor attention is directed to Dixon. The Dixon reference discloses a fluid pressure sensor device in figures 4-5 comprising a fluid filled threaded bellows wherein the bellows includes a proximate wall, a distal wall, and a flexible sidewall constructed of a resilient material wherein the sensor is mounted on the distal wall of the bellows, therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Das to incorporate the bellows & sensor of Dixon in order to provide a reliable and precise way to measure the pressure in the line.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Das et al (US Patent No. 6423035B1) as applied to claim 34 and in further view of Tseo (US Patent No. 4747828). Even though Das does not explicitly disclose the use of a strain gauge as the sensor attention is directed to Tseo. The Tseo reference teaches the use of a strain gauge for occlusion detection in figures 3 & 5 also see col. 3 lines 3-12, therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

to modify the device of Das to utilize the strain gauge of Tseo in order to provide a reliable and precise way to measure the pressure.

Claims 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Das et al (US Patent No. 6423035B1) in view of Vovan (US Patent No. 6062087). The Das reference discloses an occlusion detection system for detecting an occlusion in a fluid path of an infusion pump with a reservoir containing fluid for delivering fluid to a user in figures 1-9, the occlusion detection system comprising a housing 7, a reservoir 12 containing fluid 14 for delivering fluid to a user, a stopper 20 slidably positioned in the reservoir to push the fluid out of the reservoir, a motor 10 contained within the housing 7, a drive train (seen as elements 20, 21, 18, 28) having a front end and a rear end, the front end of the drive train being operatively coupled to the reservoir 12, and the drive train including at least one drive train component including elements 20 a plunger, 21 a plunger stem, 18 a lead screw, & 28 a gear train, that reacts to a stimulus from the motor to force the fluid from the reservoir into the user, a sensor 16, a force sensor, seen to be positioned on the drive train near the front end of the drive train to measure tension or compression proportional to a pressure applied to the at least one drive train component, and wherein the sensor 16 is fully capable of producing three or more output levels across a range of measurements due to it's ability to work in the environment; and an electronics system 200 that is fully capable of processing the three or more output levels to declare when an occlusion exists due to it's ability to work in the environment since it has a processor. Now, even though the Das reference does not

disclose the sensor being in direct contact with the stopper or a cap drive train component attention is directed to Vovan. The Vovan reference discloses a sensor, more specifically a strain gauge 44, being in direct contact with a stopper 30 with a resilient cap support assembly 40 for the sensor, see figure 2 & abstract, therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Das to utilize the strain gauge and cap in contact with the stopper in order to provide a more direct relation between the pressure observed and the pressure perceived by the sensor.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Huh whose telephone number is 571-272-8208. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 9 Application/Control Number: 10/684,973

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**BHH** 

BHH

KEVIN SIRMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Meuro C. Jumons